

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA  
(Indianapolis Division)**

<b>RED BARN MOTORS, INC.,</b>	*	<b>DOCKET NO. 1:14-cv-01589-TWP-DKL</b>
<b>PLATINUM MOTORS, INC.,</b>	*	
<b>MATTINGLY AUTO SALES, INC.,</b>	*	<b>CLASS ACTION</b>
<b>YOUNG EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT</b>	*	<b>Jury Trial Demanded</b>
<b>&amp; CONSULTING SERVICES, INC.,</b>	*	
<b>Individually, and on behalf of other</b>	*	
<b>members of the general public</b>	*	
<b>similarly situated</b>	*	
	*	
<b>v.</b>	*	
	*	
<b>COX ENTERPRISES, INC.,</b>	*	
<b>COX AUTOMOTIVE, INC.,</b>	*	
<b>NEXTGEAR CAPITAL, INC.,</b>	*	
<b>F/K/A DEALER SERVICES</b>	*	
<b>CORPORATION, successor by merger</b>	*	
<b>with Manheim Automotive Financial</b>	*	
<b>Services, Inc., and JOHN WICK</b>	*	
	*	

\*\*\*\*\*

**MATTINGLY AUTO SALES, INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL AND AMENDED RESPONSES  
TO NEXTGEAR CAPITAL, INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES**

NOW INTO COURT, through undersigned counsel, comes plaintiff, Mattingly Auto Sales, Inc. (“Mattingly Auto Sales”), which, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 33, provides these supplemental and amended responses to the First Set of Interrogatories from NextGear Capital, Inc. (“NextGear/DSC”) as follows. The general objections set forth in Mattingly Auto Sales’ original responses dated September 16, 2016, along with the responses contained therein and not amended below, are incorporated herein by reference.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Describe in detail all facts supporting the allegation in Paragraph 116 of the Amended Complaint that Defendants agreed to conduct or participate in the conduct, management, and/or operation of a RICO enterprise's affairs.

**AMENDED RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Mattingly Auto Sales objects to Interrogatory No. 9 on the grounds that it is premature at this early stage of litigation. Mattingly Auto Sales also objects on the grounds that it is overly broad and unduly burdensome and insofar as it seeks information that is in Defendants' control or possession or to which Defendants have superior access. Subject to the foregoing specific and general objections, Mattingly Auto Sales responds at this stage by directing the Defendants to Rec. Doc. No. 89-2, and specifically paragraphs 3, 4, and 98. As set forth therein, Cox Automotive, Inc. owns NextGear, as well as Manheim and other companies, and Cox Enterprises, Inc. owns Cox Automotive as well as other Cox entities. The Defendants used the auctions which they own, along with their relationships with other auctions, to blacklist Plaintiffs, including Mattingly Auto Sales, through inclusion in the K.O. book and other means, from participating in auctions where it had previously purchased vehicles.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 10:**

Describe in detail all facts supporting the allegation in Paragraph 116 of the Amended Complaint that Cox Enterprises, Inc. agreed to conduct or participate in the conduct, management, and/or operation of a RICO enterprise's affairs.

**AMENDED RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 10**

In response to Interrogatory No. 10, Mattingly Auto Sales incorporates its objections and responses to Interrogatory No. 9 above.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

Describe in detail all facts supporting the allegation in Paragraph 116 of the Amended Complaint that Cox Automotive, Inc. agreed to conduct or participate in the conduct, management, and/or operation of a RICO enterprise's affairs.

**AMENDED RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11:**

In response to Interrogatory No. 11, Mattingly Auto Sales incorporates its objections and responses to Interrogatory No. 9 above.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

Describe in detail all facts supporting the allegation in Paragraph 116 of the Amended Complaint that John Wick agreed to conduct or participate in the conduct, management, and/or operation of a RICO enterprise's affairs.

**AMENDED RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12:**

In response to Interrogatory No. 12, Mattingly Auto Sales incorporates its objections and responses to Interrogatory No. 9 above.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 14:**

Provide a detailed itemization of Your alleged damages, broken down by the categories alleged in Paragraphs 126 and 154 of the Amended Complaint.